

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126853
Title of the Manuscript:	RIGHT VENTRO-LATERAL MULTIPLE TRAUMATIC ABDOMINAL HERNIA IN A 1½ -YEAR-OLD BALAMI RAM: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-re>

Review Form 3

[view-comments-approval-policy/](#)

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is relevant to the veterinary scientific community as it documents a rare case of multiple traumatic ventro-lateral abdominal hernia in a Balami ram. The detailed description of the surgical procedures and post-operative management provides valuable insights for veterinary practitioners, particularly those specializing in ruminants. The significance of the manuscript lies in its potential to expand our understanding of trauma-induced conditions affecting ruminants and other less commonly studied species, thus contributing to practical surgical management in these cases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and accurately represents the case discussed. However, a slight rephrasing could enhance clarity, such as: "Right Ventro-Lateral Traumatic Abdominal Hernia in a 1½-Year-Old Balami Ram: A Case Report". This revision maintains the focus on the case and makes it more direct for readers searching for specific literature on traumatic hernias in ruminants	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive but could benefit from the addition of brief details regarding the diagnostic process and the outcome of the surgical intervention. Including a sentence or two on the diagnostic steps (such as physical examination and findings) and the successful recovery would give readers a more complete overview of the case.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-organized, with a logical structure from introduction through case report, surgical management, and discussion. Each section presents information in a coherent manner, aiding readers in following the narrative of the case. However, minor improvements in transition phrases between sections could further enhance readability.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific rigor through a methodical narrative that adheres to established veterinary surgical standards. The clinical assessment, surgical techniques, and postoperative care are explained in sufficient detail to validate the case's technical soundness. The manuscript reflects a robust understanding of the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in veterinary surgery, particularly for trauma-induced hernias in large animals.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references provided are relevant and largely sufficient; however, some are slightly dated. I recommend adding recent studies or reviews on trauma-induced hernias in domestic animals, specifically ruminants, to enhance the scientific grounding of the report. Additional contemporary references would strengthen the discussion section by contextualizing the case within recent advancements in hernia management. Consider including recent articles on trauma-induced hernia management in veterinary practice to reflect current insights and corroborate the approach taken.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language is suitable for scholarly communication, but minor grammatical corrections and adjustments in syntax are recommended to improve precision and readability. For instance, rephrasing some technical descriptions and standardizing terminology throughout the manuscript would enhance clarity.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents an intriguing and informative case of a rare ventro-lateral abdominal hernia in a Balami ram. The case report is well-structured, and the description of the diagnostic and surgical procedures is comprehensive. It successfully highlights the challenges and solutions encountered in managing traumatic hernias in large animals. To further enhance the manuscript, consider incorporating a brief discussion on potential long-term follow-up recommendations or observations in similar cases, if available in the literature. This would add depth and relevance, allowing practitioners to anticipate or manage any post-operative complications that could arise in similar cases. Additionally, while the case report provides valuable clinical insights, minor adjustments to language and grammar throughout the manuscript would ensure a smoother reading experience for a broad international audience. Recommendation: The manuscript is recommended for publication after addressing the minor revisions suggested above, particularly enhancing the abstract, refining grammar, and updating references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irami Araújo-Filho
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN), Brazil