

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_128037
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Characteristics of Cake Produced with Blends of Flour from Bambara Nut Seeds (<i>Vigna subterranea</i>) and Cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community as a reference and baseline information in quality food product development. The development of Food from the two crops and determination of quality characteristics can contribute a lot for further study in the area food science and technology. This promotes the utilization of underutilized crops. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title is suitable for the article as it is all about the quality characteristics (physical, chemical and microbiological quality) 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract is almost comprehensive. Microbiological quality should be added in the key words of the abstract 	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is scientifically correct 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>The reference has to be revised according to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Old references be removed and replaced with recent ones. Citation from not reputable journal be removed and replaced with reputable 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The article English language is easily understandable 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The article lacks proper recommendations Generally the article is accepted with minor modifications 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yassin Hassen Umar
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia