

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128763
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different fungicides for the management of stem rust (<i>Puccinia graminis Pers. tritici Erikss. & Henn.</i>) of wheat
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) is the significant staple crop throughout the world. Its production is strategic for each country, in terms of food security. Rusts pose threat to wheat production. In order to manage the fungal diseases author concluded that propiconazole / tebuconazole + trifloxystrobin mixture is the highest effective fungicides.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, suitable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Only 6 reference is used by the author. Also in the introduction section no reference are cited.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emil Shukurlu
Department, University & Country	Institute of Botany, Republic of Azerbaijan