

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123810
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION, FATTY ACID PROFILE AND SENSORY ATTRIBUTES OF COMMERCIAL PLANT-BASED MEAT ANALOGUES AND CHICKEN NUGGETS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents a number of positive points and should therefore be recommended for publication. The theme is of significant importance, and the subject is presented in a well-structured and coherent manner. The results are robust and should therefore be published. The methodology used is satisfactory and meets the proposed objective. It is regrettable to note that the manuscript does not include a list of keywords.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Affirmative. The title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Affirmative. The abstract is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Indeed, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate and meet the standards expected of a scholarly work. Nevertheless, the text lacks the inclusion of keywords.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound, exhibiting coherence between the objective, methodology, and results. The results presented are based on an appropriate methodology and were correctly discussed in light of other research. The language employed is consistent with the conventions of scientific writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Affirmative. The references are sufficient and recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Affirmative. The quality of the English language utilized in the article is appropriate for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I have no further comments that are directly pertinent to the subject under discussion.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Raimundo Nonato Colares Camargo Júnior
Department, University & Country	Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Pará, Brazil