

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128003
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STUDY ON SOMATIC CELL COUNT USING TANUVAS KIT IN HOLSTEIN FRIESIAN CROSSBRED CATTLE IN UTTAR PRADESH</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Subclinical mastitis is a worldwide concern due to the large economic losses, so this is an important topic.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>No.</b> I think it should be rephrased as THE INCIDENCE OF SUBCLINICAL MASTITIS IN HOLSTEIN FRIESIAN CROSSBRED CATTLE IN UTTAR PRADESH, somatic cell study seems inappropriate, it is an evaluated incidence from different areas, etc. ....	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	You have to enter some values, some results...	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	A re-evaluation of the cited papers should be done to be up to date. there are few citations and they are not up to date.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	an academic re-evaluation of the language is needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>First of all I saw that there is a template of the journal that I don't think is used...</p> <p>INTRODUCTION- too short and with few references...</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS- I don't think it is appropriate to put pictures of the kit and the person taking a picture with the kit in his hand...</p> <p>RESULTS: I don't see clear the results described in the paper, just in general, plus a lot of discussions referring to other studies which are very old.</p> <p>From my point of view there should be some percentages to see clearly the incidence in each category. I don't know if statistical analysis is a criterion but there should be a statistical analysis.</p> <p>It is not clear what the numbers in parentheses in the tables represent, and why we have a total per somatic cell from all categories.</p> <p><b>Is there an official upper limit for somatic cells in cow's milk production????</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b>Daina Sorana</b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Romania</b>