

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_128287
Title of the Manuscript:	Results of Chemical and Pharmacological Study of the Mongolian Traditional Prescription "Indra-4"
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and relevant, clearly reflecting the study's purpose and findings. It indicates the focus on chemical and pharmacological properties and the specific traditional prescription, "Indra-4." No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a concise overview of the study. It includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Aims: Clearly stated objectives.• Methodology: Describes the techniques used (e.g., thin-layer chromatography, UV/Vis spectrophotometry) and the experimental model.• Results: Summarizes key findings, including the identified compounds and their effects on inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).• Suggestions:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Include specific percentages or statistical results in the abstract to strengthen its impact.• Briefly mention potential future applications of the findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, employing appropriate experimental designs and analytical techniques. The use of thin-layer chromatography, UV/Vis spectrophotometry, and animal models enhances the reliability of the findings. The inclusion of histological analysis adds depth to the pharmacological evaluation. However: A deeper discussion of the biochemical mechanisms underlying the observed effects would add value. The statistical methods, while adequate, could be improved by including additional metrics to strengthen the interpretation of results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relevant, covering both traditional uses and modern pharmacological studies. However, more recent references (2020–2024) on similar traditional medicines and their bioactive compounds could enhance the literature review. Studies focusing on the gut microbiota's role in IBD treatment might also complement the discussion.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is acceptable but requires minor improvements for clarity and conciseness. Some sentences are overly complex or repetitive, and occasional grammatical errors are present. Polishing the manuscript for readability and consistency would enhance its suitability for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debajyoti Roy
Department, University & Country	C.V. Raman Global University, India