

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_128100
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis of Nickel-Doped TiO2 Nanoparticles For Enhanced Supercapacotor electrode Performance
Type of the Article	Research study

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nickel-Doped TiO2 Nanoparticles For Enhanced Super-capacitor electrode Performance research is no doubt good for the materials science but lots of errors in the manuscript starting from title spelling of capacitor and the abstract introduction results discussion is quite premature and not given the justified significance	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No errors in the spelling	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Make the abstract self-explanatory and justified with the outcomes of this research study Also insert prominent results and key highlights in the abstract	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Not suitable in the current format needs improvement and state of the art is also needs to be inserted	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This manuscript is not appreciable in the current language grammar and the format suggested to refer the authors guidelines and peer review journal styling in writing this manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The SEM & EDX analysis needs more emphasis on the key outcomes in these characterization and its correlation with the aims/objectives of the research done.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rajendra S. Dongre</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RTM Nagpur University, India</b>