

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128212
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF LEGUMES CROPPING WITH ANDROPOGON GAYANUS KUNTH. SHORT-TERM FALLOWING ROTATION ON THE SOIL FERTILITY IN WEST CENTRAL REGION OF BURKINA FASO, KOUDOUGOU
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The general theme is appropriate Good content is not prepared The source is weak The number of treatments is huge Scientific topics are weak The overall research is good, but it is not written in accordance with scientific articles. It is better to present the results and tables in a consolidated form. Then a general interpretation is made.</p> <p>In the text of the article, the title of the result and discussion was first stated and then the title of the discussion was mentioned again, which is wrong.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is best to mention the name of the general research area.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract does not mention the methods in detail. Research year? Design type Complete or completely randomized blocks?</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This is more like an operational report than a scientific paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>The sources in the introduction are very limited. The introduction does not adequately describe previous research conducted on the topic.</p>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	60%	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In terms of content, it does not have a strong scientific structure and is not properly organized.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> NO, Based on the provided content, there are no explicit ethical issues mentioned in the manuscript. The content does not raise any ethical concerns related to human or animal subjects, data integrity, authorship, or conflicts of interest.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousof Niknejad
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran