

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_128079
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A ONE-SIDED CUMULATIVE SUM CONTROL CHART FOR MONITORING SHIFT IN THE PARAMETERS OF THE WEIGHTED WEIBULL DISTRIBUTION USING V-MASK APPROACH</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The concept used in the manuscript is a good approach for identifying changes in the parameter of the weighted Weibull distribution along with good sensitivity. The method described in the article will have great impact in process and quality control engineering sensing even a minor changes in the process. Thus it will have a significant importance in modelling a new process.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is detailed and clear	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript sounds scientifically clear.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are backdated. Use some recent literature references in appropriate points. Use proper references for mathematical equations in section 2, section 3, section 4 and section 5.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is inadequate to publish as a scholarly article.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article lacks the proper description of its importance compared to recent other approaches. The quality of writing should be improved for the acceptance.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b>Rosni Sayed</b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b>Pabna University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh</b>