

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128335
Title of the Manuscript:	Progress in the influence of mechanical sand properties on concrete properties
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The content of the manuscript addresses the use of machine-made sand in concrete production and its effect on concrete performance, this manuscript has highlighted the importance of going green in construction which also support material and environmental sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript does not reflect its content consequently an alternative title thus suggested. "A review on the Influence of engineering properties of machine-made sand on concrete performance"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not comprehensive enough. Abstract should contain the summary of introduction, aim and objectives, methodology, results, conclusion and recommendation. Therefore, more details should be added to the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More sufficient literatures should be cited in the body of the work and duly referenced in the reference section by strictly complying to the journal standard.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some grammars should be checked and corrected as highlighted in the body of the work. Appropriate use of punctuation marks should be adopted.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is good however, the author(s) failed to provide some sources where some of the information therein are gotten. The author(s) should go through the work and provide necessary citations for some statement as highlighted in the body of the work. Also, there is a need to check the error in the use of language of the work, necessary punctuation marks should be provided where it's appropriate.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Musefiu Omotoso Oyelowo
Department, University & Country	Adeleke University, Nigeria