

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_126235
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Simple Public Safety and Security Policy Model</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it tackles a pressing issue: the lack of formal public safety and security policies in many African countries. By presenting a comprehensive Public Safety and Security Policy (PSSP) model, the paper offers a clear framework for creating effective policies that integrate theoretical insights, global best practices, and constitutional principles. Its emphasis on separating political and managerial responsibilities highlights a practical approach to improving governance and operational efficiency. This work provides valuable guidance for policymakers and researchers working to strengthen safety and security systems in developing nations, contributing to long-term socio-economic development and stability.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> <b>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The current title, "Simple Public Safety and Security Policy Model," gives a general idea of the paper's focus but doesn't fully capture the depth or significance of its contributions. A more descriptive and engaging title could better reflect the manuscript's goals and attract a broader audience.</p> <p>Suggested reconstructions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "A Practical Framework for Public Safety and Security Policy Development in African Nations"</li> <li>2. "Simplified Public Safety and Security Policy Model: A Tool for Developing Countries"</li> <li>3. "Designing Effective Public Safety and Security Policies: A Model for National Development"</li> <li>4. "Public Safety and Security in Focus: A Simplified Policy Model for African Nations"</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract provides a good overview of the paper, but it could be improved by making it clearer, more concise, and impactful.</p> <p>Suggestions:</p> <p>Additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Highlight of Practical Impact: Mention how the proposed model can directly address real-world challenges in African nations or provide examples of its application.</li> <li>2. Broader Implications: Briefly touch upon how the model can contribute to socio-economic development or governance improvements beyond safety and security.</li> <li>3. Methodology Reference: Include a brief mention of the literature review and consultations that informed the model development.</li> </ol> <p>Refinements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Technical Details: Simplify or remove the technical explanation of variables (Yi, Yj, X, Z) as it might not be necessary for the abstract. These details can be reserved for the main body of the paper.</li> <li>2. Repetitive Phrases: Streamline mentions of "guiding principles, values, goals, etc." as they appear multiple times in different forms.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p><i>The manuscript appears to be scientifically accurate and well-structured. It tackles a clear problem—the lack of formalized Public Safety and Security Policies (PSSPs) in many African countries—and provides a thoughtful framework for addressing it. The proposed model is logically developed, combining theoretical foundations with practical elements like global best practices and legal frameworks.</i></p> <p><i>However, there are some areas that could be improved to strengthen its scientific foundation:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>Validation of the Model: The framework is primarily theoretical. Including empirical evidence, case studies, or simulations to show how it works in practice would enhance its credibility.</i></li> <li>2. <i>Clarity of Variables: While the model includes detailed variables (Yi, X, Z), these could be explained more clearly, with stronger connections to the specific challenges the policy aims to address.</i></li> <li>3. <i>Application Examples: Adding real-world examples or case studies would make the model's application more tangible and relatable.</i></li> <li>4. <i>Updated References: Ensuring all references are current and relevant to the African context will add further credibility.</i></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><i>The references included in the manuscript are generally relevant and provide a solid foundation. However, to ensure the literature is both comprehensive and up-to-date, it may be beneficial to include a few additional, recent publications. These could enhance the depth and relevance of the manuscript, particularly in the African context. Suggested references include:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>"National Security Strategy Development in Africa: A Toolkit for Drafting and Consultation" (Africa Center for Strategic Studies, 2021) – This toolkit provides practical insights into developing security strategies tailored for African nations.</i></li> <li>2. <i>"Less is More: A New Strategy for US Security Assistance to Africa" (Chicago Council on Global Affairs, 2022) – This report critically evaluates the impact of security assistance in Africa, emphasizing governance and sustainable approaches.</i></li> <li>3. <i>"Oversight and Accountability to Improve Security Sector Governance in Africa" (Africa Center for Strategic Studies, 2022) – This publication focuses on improving oversight institutions to strengthen governance in security sectors across Africa.</i></li> <li>4. <i>"The African Union Security Sector Reform Policy Framework: A Decade of Implementation"</i></li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

	<i>(African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes, 2023) – This analysis reflects on the successes and challenges of implementing the AU's Security Sector Reform Policy Framework.</i>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<i>The language quality of the article is generally adequate for scholarly communication; as it maintains a formal tone suitable for academic writing and it uses of technical terms and definitions is appropriate for the subject matter.</i>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	<p><i>Here are some additional general comments to consider for improving the manuscript:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. Future Research Suggestions: Consider including a short section on areas for further research or limitations of the model. This will show a critical and forward-looking perspective, which is valued in academic writing.</i></li> <li><i>2. Add Visual Aids: Incorporating diagrams, charts, or flowcharts to represent the components and relationships within the PSSP model could make the content easier to understand and more engaging for readers.</i></li> </ol> <p><i>There do not appear to be any clear competing interest issues in the manuscript. However, the following aspects should be considered to ensure transparency:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>• Include a clear "Competing Interests" or "Conflict of Interest" statement, explicitly stating whether or not any conflicts exist.</i></li> <li><i>• If the research received external funding, include a statement disclosing the source and clarifying whether the funders had any influence on the study's design, execution, or conclusions.</i></li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chris Gilbert</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>William Vs Tubman University, Liberia</b>