

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_128108
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Health Utility of Premarital Screening Tests for Blood Group Incompatibilities and Hepatitis B and C Viral Infections
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are many diseases/conditions which should be screened. However, this study selected only few diseases/conditions. Why? Any significance?	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable. However, suggest the specific terms of 'rhesus incompatibility' in stead of blood group incompatibility.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Background: Delete some terms non-related to the study variables, such as genetic, thalassemia, sickle cell dis., HIV, syphilis. Conclusion: Again, delete some non-related terms, such as genetic disorders, sexually transmitted diseases.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study variables were ABO, Rh, and Hepatitis B/C but the introduction and conclusion was far beyond these variables, such as genetic disorders (thalassemia), sexually transmitted diseases (HIV). Delete and make it more precise and concise. ABO seems like no problems. Should it be excluded? Introduction: If the premarital test results found to be Rh incompatibility or Hepatitis, what are the benefits? What kinds of health services will be provided? Methods: Any ethical issues? Results: Why the results of the 26-35 age-group were not reported?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	OK.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Excellent.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kasara Sripichyakan
Department, University & Country	Chiang Mai University, Thailand