

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_121873</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Socioeconomic Determinants of Livelihood Dependence on Forestry Resources in Leh Himalaya, India</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</b>	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

**Review Form 3**

:		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p><b>Abstract</b> Needs rewrite and number of words should be not more than the journals guidelines</p> <p><b>Keywords</b> Words which is exists in the title should be because it minimizes your paper searching.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> There is a problem of citation under the first paragraph in line 2. In paragraph one starting from line 6 to end there is no citation but it is the results of other scholars. Needs rewrite especially paragraph one</p> <p><b>Objectives</b> Your first specific objective is unclear</p> <p><b>Study area description</b> Study area description is very short so add descriptions related to donkey trading practices in your study areas and add study area map.</p> <p><b>Sampling techniques and sampling size determination lacks.</b></p> <p><b>Data analysis</b> Lacks clearance or it is unclear example for which specific objective use descriptive statistics and regression Which types of regression is used?</p> <p><b>Result and discussion</b> You said at data collection part “A structured questionnaire with both open and closed ended questions was administered to four hundred (400) donkey owners (households) who were randomly selected from four (4) villages in each of the four districts” and again you said “A total of 384 respondents were interviewed from five district” so they are not consistent. This indicates you can’t do this paper. The majority (n=292; 76%) of the household heads were males (Table 1). Overall the household population size was dominated by females who comprised 51%. The large number males who were encountered during the interviews were expected due to male dominance of the African culture especially in the Agro-pastoral communities. These ideas are inconsistent with each other. You cannot address the title “Population dynamics” “Responses with regard to the socio-economic importance of different livestock types indicated that in all surveyed districts cattle are the most important, followed by donkeys, goats and sheep in descending order (Table 3). However, chicken were considered to be more important in Chemba than in other district councils” unclear needs rewrite. Under this title your table result and narrative part are not consistent and also you narrate out of the title example you can see “Discussion with key informants indicated that donkey owners have shifted their perception on the value of donkeys than they did before. For example, Veterinary officers or paravets are now called to attend the sick donkeys. Donkeys like other animals need to be cared for the good health welfare. Although many people in Somalia benefit directly from donkeys without much input, they still do not take good care of the animal and consider it to have the lowest health status compared to other animals (Biffa and Woldemeskel, 2006)”. You can’t touch Knowledge and attitude of the respondents under this title “Knowledge and attitude on the conduct and sustainability of donkey trade” in case your narration in this title is out of the scopes.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adane Mulat Nigus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oda Bultum University, Ethiopia</b>