

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_127754
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrating Natural Ventilation and HVAC Systems for Sustainable Building Design.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No "Assessing natural ventilation performance of a lecture hall"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's hard to grasp what the manuscript is all about from the abstract. The objectives of the study were not stated in the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>1. The aim of the study stated thus: "This paper investigates the advantages and obstacles of merging natural ventilation and HVAC systems, including better air quality, lower energy use, and increased occupant satisfaction by analyzing existing research and real-world examples"</p> <p>but these was not reflected in the method nor was it reflected in the results and discussion.</p> <p>2. There's no result triangulation</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	A few numbers of references are not recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibiyeye Aminat Idowu
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State University, Nigeria