

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128390
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Oil Yield and Quality of Cymbopogon winterianus through Organic Manures in Moringa oleifera-Based Agroforestry Systems
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the impact of organic manures on the oil yield and quality of <i>Cymbopogon winterianus</i> , a crop of significant economic and industrial importance. The research addresses the integration of organic farming practices within agroforestry systems, offering a sustainable approach to improving crop productivity while maintaining ecological balance. The findings could serve as a guideline for optimizing cultivation techniques in agroforestry systems, potentially benefiting farmers by reducing dependency on chemical fertilizers and promoting environmentally friendly agricultural practices.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title accurately reflects the main focus of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but a brief mention of the methodology used to assess the oil quality parameters would enhance clarity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically correct, with the methodology and results discussed in a clear and logical manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are adequate, but several recent studies on organic farming and agroforestry could be included to strengthen the literature review and provide more up-to-date context. References to current research on the application of organic fertilizers in aromatic crops would be beneficial.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally suitable for scholarly communication; however, some minor grammatical improvements could enhance readability. Overall, the manuscript is clear and understandable, but slight refinement in sentence structure and consistency in terminology could be beneficial.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek Singh
Department, University & Country	Muga Eri Silkworm Seed Organization, Central Silk Board, India