

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_INDJ_128370  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment Of Pre-operative And Post-Operative Anxiety Among Surgery Patients In A Tertiary Care Hospital |
| Type of the Article      | Short Research Articles   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | This paper provides an important contribution on the significant reduction in anxiety levels after surgery, with a change from high to low anxiety in the majority of postoperative patients. The main stress factors before surgery included concerns about family, complications, and financial issues, which underpinned the need for comprehensive perioperative support. Moderate levels of anxiety persisted postoperatively, likely due to concerns about pain and recovery. Integration of psychological support into surgical care is essential to improve patient outcomes and optimize recovery. A holistic approach that includes both physical and mental health during the perioperative period may help reduce anxiety and improve patient satisfaction. |  |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>   | No, the article title does not reflect the specific content of the manuscript. Proposed alternative title: "A Prospective Observational Study of Preoperative and Postoperative Anxiety in Surgical Patients at a Tertiary Referral Hospital"   |  |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>      | The abstract is quite comprehensive, but there are some points that could be improved. It is recommended to add more detailed information about the inclusion and exclusion criteria, such as medical diagnoses, methods and time of data collection and the main results achieved to provide a more complete information to the reader. the discussion in the abstract is written according to the findings, not written The findings align with existing literature.  |  |
| <b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>  | Overall, this manuscript is scientifically correct. The data presented are relevant and supported by adequate statistical analysis. It should be noted that the discussion section is less in-depth regarding female or male patients, patient age, medical diagnosis because it greatly affects preoperative anxiety, with the discussion needing to be added to justify the research results.<br>in the discussion I found all sentences whose bibliographic quotations were in sequential order, this is impossible because when we write sentences of course they do not have to be ordered according to the existing bibliography. Please write the sentences and sources accurately.  |  |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>                              | The references used already include the latest and relevant research.<br>Here are some references to enrich this journal:<br>-The Impact of Educational Sessions on Anxiety Levels among Women Undergoing Caesarean Section: A Quasi-Experimental Study<br>- Presence of the partner in the operating room during a category 1 cesarean section: a prospective explorative study<br>- Educational interventions targeting pregnant women to optimise the use of caesarean section: What are the essential elements? A qualitative comparative analysis  |  |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | The language quality is good enough for academic communication, but there are many conjunctions that start sentences in almost all paragraphs.  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | This manuscript has great potential to be published after minor revisions. The authors may also consider strengthening the objectives, discussion and conclusion sections by emphasizing the practical use of their findings.<br>No indication of plagiarism was found based on this review.<br>No ethical issues were detected in this manuscript.<br>No conflict of interest issues were found.   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Rissa Widwasworo Hartanti</b>           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Sebelas Maret University, Indonesia</b> |