

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_128370
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment Of Pre-operative And Post-Operative Anxiety Among Surgery Patients In A Tertiary Care Hospital
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This topic provides valuable insights for healthcare professionals, offering a fresh perspective on patient care. 2. The manuscript emphasizes areas where individualized attention is crucial, particularly in interactions with patients and their family members. 3. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of interdisciplinary teamwork among healthcare professionals to promote holistic well-being. 4. The Principal Investigator should have addressed the entire healthcare team rather than focusing on one or two specific professionals. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A minor adjustment has been incorporated. Evaluation of Preoperative and Postoperative anxiety levels among Surgical Patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The conclusion includes the term "psychological support," but this aspect was not addressed in the questionnaire or any other part of the data collection process. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The demographic profile could be enhanced by including additional parameters such as previous hospitalization and the presence of healthcare professionals in the family. • Additionally, incorporating aspects like the influence of mass media in the questionnaire would provide valuable insights. • It is suggested to frame statements in a positive manner to maintain a constructive tone throughout the study. In the factors contributing to preoperative anxiety, directly mentioning the names of professionals, such as "harm from doctors/nurse mistakes," could have a negative impact on both the healthcare professionals and the healthcare setting as a whole. It is important to address these concerns in a more generalized manner to maintain a constructive tone. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The references are adequately listed in the reference section; however, they are not properly synchronized throughout the manuscript. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some paragraphs contain long, complex sentences that could be broken down into simpler, more easily understandable ones. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arulmozhi Baskaran
Department, University & Country	PES University, PESU Institute of Nursing, India