

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128080
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLOITING POSSIBILITIES OF SHORT DURATION PIGEONPEA IN RICE FALLOWS OF COASTAL ODISHA.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community as it explores the potential of short-duration pigeonpea cultivation in the rice fallows of coastal Odisha, a region where effective utilization of post-monsoon agricultural land remains underexplored. By addressing the challenges of waterlogging, soil fertility, and climatic constraints, the study contributes valuable insights into sustainable cropping systems that enhance land productivity and farmer income.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct after involving the suggested comments in manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more recent references and arrange the references according to Journals guidelines	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language of the article is correct and suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Solanki
Department, University & Country	Government Madhav Science College, India