

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_128573
Title of the Manuscript:	Anterior Mediastinal Teratoma Masquerading as Left Lobar Pneumonia and Pericardial Effusion: A Short Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Case report is definitely an important one, adding to our medical literature. Rare case in a child with proper management have been mentioned.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the content can be increased, highlighting rare points of case and its management.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Needs correction, methods have been mentioned before case presentation part. I have added the comments in manuscript file which I have attached for the author's reference. Histopathology is definitive diagnosis for teratoma, authors need to attach the biopsy report as well.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are up to date. One or two needs correction as per Vancouver style,	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, its largely correct. Few grammatical errors are there. Needs a check at various places.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript can be accepted after above mentioned minor corrections. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manjari Kishore
Department, University & Country	GS Medical College & Hospital, India