

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128315
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Cationic Micronutrient status for Efficient Farm Management in an Experimental Farm in the Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Assessing the status of cationic micronutrients like zinc, iron, copper, and manganese is vital for efficient farm management. These nutrients play crucial roles in plant growth, enzyme activation, and resistance to stress. Regular assessment ensures optimal soil fertility, prevents deficiencies or toxicities, and enhances crop yield and quality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	That is already good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Grammar is not suitable.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but not found in one format.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but improve it.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript found several paragraphs and was not set properly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepak Kumar
Department, University & Country	Eternal University, Baru Sahib, India