

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_128317
Title of the Manuscript:	Unaccompanied minor refugees: Age assessment monitoring with Blockchain functionality
Type of the Article	Original

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the articles is apt and justifies the theme, content of the presentation. Further, it discusses the rights of the children of various age groups. The article states about UN Conventions which tries to protect rights of children.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is apt.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract reflects the contents of the article.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes, I found it to be.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is good.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article reflects the current issues succinctly and elucidates with proper examples and references. The article is good and deserves to be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishnu Prakash Mangu
Department, University & Country	Govt. Degree and PG College, Puttur, India