

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_127491
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRIENT INTAKE AND ANTHROPOMETRIC STATUS OF PRESCHOOLERS IN UPLAND AND COASTAL REGIONS OF RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article:-	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Preschool age is a unique stage in human development that is marked by rapid growth, with a steady increase in body size as well as improvement in organs and system functions. Adequate nutrition enables the preschool child to develop skills, maintain concentration, improve memory and cognitive functions, reduced risk of overweight and obesity in the short term and prevents the development of many diet-related diseases later in life. In this study, A multi-stage sampling technique are used to select pre-school children from all the eight local government areas in the zone. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentages, means, and standard deviation are used to present the findings. And so the manuscript is very-very important for scientific community. Children are future of any nation and research work related to them are highly appreciated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes,the title is alright and suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes,the abstract is comprehensive,there is no need of addition or deletions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title and Abstract of the manuscript are very much suitable. Materials & methods are suitable and technically appropriate. Sufficient details of the methods are provided. In Results & discussion part the data are well-controlled and robust. Sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses are carried out. Conclusions are based on facts and figures. All the datas are presented inside the manuscript. All the references cited are relevant and adequate. current references are provided during discussion in a scientific manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes,the references are recent and sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, the language of the article is suitable for scholars communication.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	All the part of manuscript are very much suitable and appropriate, scientifically written and technically sound. It can be published if all other terms and conditions are fulfilled by the Author.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Smita Kumari
Department, University & Country	Magadh University, India