

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128078
Title of the Manuscript:	Sex determination by discriminant function analysis from femoral histomorphometry of a Nigerian population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study conducted is an extensive and meticulous research which holds huge significance and application in forensic science. The data presented here adds to the value and reinforces the similar studies done in different parts of the world.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	of <b>southern Nigerian population</b> - would be more appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Appropriate Place of study – spelling correction – departments add S	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Too many references quoted. It can be reduced , only the recent ones can be retained, References as old as 1965 or so , can be omitted.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>table number 4 needs revision A clearer explanation of the table from the author is needed</p> <p>In the introduction section – comments from previous studies can be omitted and included only in the discussion part .</p> <p>Material and methods – why only right femur bone specimens were used in the study ?? specify / justify</p> <p>Results :</p> <p>Kindly revise table number 4 – look for the correctness of numbers mentioned.</p> <p>The values mentioned in table number 4 don't quite match the sample size mentioned earlier in the material and methods section</p> <p>A clearer explanation of the table from author is required .</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Varsha Sholapurkar
Department, University & Country	Uzima University, Kenya