

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127928
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial inclusion in the CEMAC: Analysis of its effects on the standard of living
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive analysis of the impact of financial inclusion on the standard of living within the CEMAC (Central African Economic and Monetary Community) region. By focusing on a region often underrepresented in financial research, the study contributes to the global understanding of how inclusive financial systems can drive economic development and poverty alleviation. It offers empirical evidence that can inform policymakers, development agencies, and financial institutions on the benefits of enhancing financial accessibility.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. It should be clear	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract is not much clear and well-structured, effectively summarizing the study's aims, methodology, and results. A few suggestions could enhance its comprehensiveness and clarity:</p> <p>Contextual Background: Adding a brief sentence at the beginning to contextualize why financial inclusion is critical in the CEMAC region would help set the stage for the study. For example, mentioning the region's economic challenges or low financial inclusion rates could provide context for the research focus.</p> <p>Scope and Implications: While the abstract outlines the findings well, it could briefly highlight broader implications. For instance, mentioning how the results could influence policymaking or economic development strategies in similar regions would increase its relevance.</p> <p>Specificity in Results: Including quantitative details, such as the magnitude of the effect of financial inclusion on per capita income and consumption, would make the results more impactful.</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Please include a definition of the symbols used in the research. It is preferable that there are no abbreviations in the title of the paper. The full name must be written.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramzi Abdullah Ahmed Hassan
Department, University & Country	SRTM University, India