

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128350
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Formal Agricultural Credit Flow to Districts in India: An Econometric Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The objective of the work is of utmost importance: family farming has difficulty in accessing subsidized credit; - It can help government institutions develop specific public policies - It contributes to a better understanding of the regionalization of family farming. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	- Yes, the title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	- The abstract must be written in plain text, without delimiting the items;	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is appropriate	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is important to convert local currency into dollars; - Remove the table from the introduction and relocate it in the text. - Make the objective of the work clear, without giving answers, at the end of the introduction. - Eliminate the subtitles in the Results and Discussions section and make the text continuous -The conclusion is the most important part of the work. It should be reformulated, expressing the main points of the research, what other works were unable to show. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diane Aparecida Ostroski
Department, University & Country	Federal Technological University of Paraná, Brazil