

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128304
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges faced in the utilization of FAQs in agriculture among farmers in Kerala
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a critical aspect of agricultural knowledge dissemination—bridging the gap between digital information systems and end-users, particularly farmers. By identifying specific challenges in utilizing FAQ systems in Kerala, it provides valuable insights into the barriers hindering the adoption of technology-driven agricultural solutions. The findings contribute to the broader understanding of how localized interventions can enhance digital literacy and trust in agricultural information systems. Additionally, the study's practical recommendations can guide policymakers and extension services in designing more effective tools for sustainable agricultural development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Challenges Faced in the Utilization of FAQs in Agriculture Among Farmers in Kerala," is suitable as it clearly reflects the study's focus on barriers to FAQ usage in the agricultural context.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlighting the broader impact on agricultural productivity. • Simplifying technical terms for clarity. • Focusing more on key findings and solutions for better emphasis. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically correct as it employs appropriate methods, such as Garrett's ranking technique , to evaluate and rank challenges faced by farmers in utilizing FAQ systems. The methodology, including random sampling and structured interviews, ensures reliable data collection. The analysis effectively identifies key barriers and provides actionable solutions. However, the scientific rigor could be further strengthened by discussing potential limitations, such as sample size or regional specificity, and by referencing similar studies for validation. Overall, the findings are credible and grounded in sound research practices.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant but should include more recent studies from the last 5–10 years on digital tools in agriculture and FAQ utilization to enhance the manuscript's relevance.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication but needs minor improvements for grammar, conciseness, and clarity.	
Optional/General comments	The study offers valuable insights and practical recommendations. Adding regional comparisons, visual aids, and more details on solutions to barriers would strengthen the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umangkumar Bharatbhai Zalavadiya
Department, University & Country	Parul Institute of Techonology, Parul University, India