

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128403
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of pulses on the oviposition preference and reproduction of pulse bruchid, <i>Callosobruchus chinensis</i> (Coleoptera: Bruchidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research on this topic is very essential as the pulse Bruchid is very significant pest of stored grains and directly connected to food security of the world. The study on the preference of the pest towards different hosts will provides the key differences and opens the door for further studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please add some data in the abstract In Line 10, which parameter is used to justify healthier ?	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is scientifically lacking the parameters, formulas and phenol measurement methodology in material and method section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are too old	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>Add some data related to production and damage of pulses.</p> <p>Manuscript is lacking a correct methodology and Major data in Result and Discussion section.</p> <p>Discussion references are too old, please find some new and improve the section.</p> <p>You can add some range data in table format or some other attractive graphical representations.</p> <p>Some scientific names are not italic.</p> <p>Expend conclusion scope also, any future perspective?</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surendra Singh Shekhawat
Department, University & Country	SGT University, India