

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128250
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Morphometric Variations in Diploid and Triploid Common Carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) During Early Developmental Stages
Type of the Article	Original paper

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Morphometric characteristics are important in fish taxonomy especially is not going to take any cost and will take less time than other strategies to identify the classification and distinguishing among fish species. Triploid technique is widely used in aquaculture sector in order to have products around the year and saving energy by fish that going to reproductive products.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluating Morphometric Characters in Diploid and Triploid Common Carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) During Early Developmental Stages	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The idea behind the experiment is correct, but needs molecular techniques or new strategies to emphasis the differences among both species. for scholar articles only depending on 11 characters is not quite sufficient, authors would depend on more characters and other evaluation beside morphometry.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are many grammatical mistakes can be found in the manuscript, academic vocabularies should be used, avoid using (but, between) in the text. Materials and methods should be written in past tense not in present tense.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Morphometric evaluation is a useful tool and cheap in fish taxonomy, in order to rich the manuscript it would be better to include meristic characteristics	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karzan Namiq
Department, University & Country	Sulaimani Polytechnic University, Iraq