

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_127863
Title of the Manuscript:	"A Rare Bond: Exploring the Heart-Hand Connection in Holt-Oram Syndrome"
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a rare genetic disease. Publishing more articles on this topic will improve knowledge of the scientific community. It also helps physicians to know about updated treatment options, which ultimately helps in patients' managements.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The first line of the abstract, introduction and discussion are the same. It should be different.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>. Same sentences used in the first 4 lines in the introduction and in the discussion. Language should be changed.</p> <p>2. Also, the first line of the abstract is the same as the introduction and discussion.</p> <p>3. In keywords—ASD or congenital ASD—anyone is sufficient. ACHD should elaborate or delete.</p> <p>4. Post-operative follow-up may be added in the last part of the case report.</p> <p>5. In the middle of the second paragraph of discussion, the author said, "The strong family history of similar features across three generations highlights the autosomal dominant inheritance pattern of Holt-Oram syndrome in our case." Then add reference 4. This reference number should be omitted.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashith Chandra Das
Department, University & Country	Jalalabad Ragib-Rabeya Medical College, Bangladesh