

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_128238
Title of the Manuscript:	Insecticidal and plant extracts screening against Whitefly Management in Brinjal, Solanum melongena L.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The paper is well-written but has a few areas that need improvement.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It offers a solid foundation, presenting detailed results and a practical focus on yield enhancement and pest management.</li><li>2. However, the introduction lacks information about the specific impact of whiteflies, and there is a weak connection between the objectives and the context of the introduction.</li><li>3. Additionally, the discussion section requires more clarity, as it contains repetitive narratives, particularly concerning the superiority of chemical insecticides across seasons. A more concise summary of the patterns observed over the two seasons would enhance readability.</li></ol>	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyanka Dahiya
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, India