

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_128270
Title of the Manuscript:	Non alcoholic fatty liver disease examined by FIBROSCAN-AST (FAST) score and the risk of colorectal carcinoma- A case controlled study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Interesting manuscript, highlights the importance of the association between NAFLD and its consequences and colorectal cancer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and well describe the study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract is clear, comprehensive and well conducted.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This author/s did good job regarding Grammar, Scientific material, Data collection, presentation, Analysis of data and discussion of the available studies in the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references need to be reviewed and unify the citation according to the journal's citation policy, the number of the references for research article are not sufficient, more updated references in the field of the study need to be added.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear, good quality and it is comprehensive	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Interesting well conducted study dealing with an important and ongoingly evolving subject.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muntadher Abdulkareem Abdullah
Department, University & Country	Basrah college of Medicine, Basrah university, Basrah Gastroenterology and Hepatology hospital, Iraq