

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_128270
Title of the Manuscript:	Non alcoholic fatty liver disease examined by FIBROSCAN-AST (FAST) score and the risk of colorectal carcinoma- A case controlled study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has a new design. Considering the high prevalence of fatty liver, it is a useful study. Considering its relationship with other diseases, including common colon cancer, it is useful for future studies	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Assessed by FIBROSCAN-AST (FAST) Score and Its Association with Colorectal Carcinoma Risk: A Case-Control Study"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Revise the Background: Clarify the connection between NAFLD, inflammation, and CRC. Mention the gap in literature more explicitly.</p> <p>Refine the Objective: Make the objective more straightforward and specific.</p> <p>Enhance the Methods Section: Use clearer language and structure. Include statistical methods explicitly.</p> <p>Streamline Results: Present results more logically and clearly.</p> <p>Strengthen the Conclusion: Emphasize clinical implications and make the conclusion more concise.</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very limited and new information has been used less in the last two years. Ensure that each reference directly supports a statement or finding in your abstract. For example, if you mention the role of NAFLD in colorectal cancer, there should be a reference that discusses this relationship.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text is not clear enough and it is better to correct it in terms of writing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farkhondeh Razmpour
Department, University & Country	Hormozghan Medical University, Iran