

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_127442
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF AIR POLLUTION TOLERANCE INDEX (APTI) AND EXPECTED PERFORMANCE INDEX (EPI) OF PLANTS ALONG NSUKKA. - ENUGU ROAD.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study focussed on the topic of air pollution. Planting pollution tolerant plants in polluted areas is the best strategy for combating the problem of pollution. This study identified tolerant and fit plant species that are available locally in the study area. Hence the study is scientifically important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Methods are weakly described in abstract. Scientific names of plants should be written in full.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Seems appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A good number of plants (28) are analysed for their APTI and EPI, from both experimental and control sites. Biochemical and statistical analysis done are also appropriate. However, statistical tests done need to be written in more detail under methods section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Language quality is weak at few places in the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Overall there is novelty in the work. Language errors at few places need correction. Some error is there in table numbers (table 1 and 2) in relation to the text where they are cited.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hema Gupta (Joshi)
Department, University & Country	Visva-Bharati (a Central University), India