

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_127471
Title of the Manuscript:	Immune characterization of human pneumotropic local serotype 1 and 6 Staphylococcus pneumoniae isolates as local vaccine strains
Type of the Article	Original article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction was very short, explain more about the local vaccine with recent references. No statistical analysis method was used in this research to test for significance between groups. How can we compare between them. The reagents used in the experimental work should write their company, and the strain of the rabbits used. Many and many editing mistakes were observed. All tables without P values.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be revised to be acceptable with experimental work on animals (rabbits). Test for the immune characterization of human pneumotropic local serotype 1 and 6 Staphylococcus pneumoniae isolates as local vaccine strains in experimental rabbits	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs editing, addition of the P-Value to test the significant difference between groups. No statistical analysis method was used in this research. Serotype 1 and 6 or C1 and C6	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections were not well arranged, and have many typing mistakes and grammar, need editing to the whole manuscript. No space between words.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Introduction was very short, explain more about the local vaccine with recent references. Many and many editing mistakes were observed. Without statistical analysis in this research how can we test for significance between groups. Presence of references without authors.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	20 references were found in this manuscript, 6 or 7 of them were old before 2011 Many mistakes in writing the references and are not following the journal Reference 7 & 8 without authors and starting with the year of publication!!!	
Minor REVISION comments	There is a great neglect in writing this manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	No statistical analysis method was used in this research. There is a great neglect in writing this manuscript. No ethical approval for this research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madiha Rabie Mahmoud
Department, University & Country	College of Medicine, University of Hail, Saudi Arabia