

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_127337
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate composition, phytochemistry and antioxidant studies of ethanol extract of Tetrapleura tetraptera fruit consumed in Port-Harcourt city, Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, manuscript is well.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. slightly modify it. Like <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Comprehensive Phytochemical and Antioxidant Profiling of Tetrapleura tetraptera Fruit from Port-Harcourt, Nigeria: A Nutritional and Bioactive Potential Assessment" • Nutritional and Antioxidant Evaluation of Tetrapleura tetraptera: A Detailed Ethanol Extract Analysis from Rivers State, Nigeria" 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. need some improvement	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Improve presentation of paper	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saman Shahid
Department, University & Country	Lahore College For Women University, Pakistan