

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_127997
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Food Security Scales: Evidence and Methods in The FAO Context
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Elementary statistical measures such as percentages, mean, figures were computed/illustrated. It has discussed all the concepts in details as required for an explicit presentation of manuscript write-up generally. 2. Questionnaire instrument as a method of collecting data was used and suitable Framework of study adopted.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is ambiguous suggested as: Analyzing Food Security Scales: Evidence and Methods in the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) Context	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES! (Keywords are <i>Arrange alphabetically and avoid the use of abbreviations in this section</i>). (Abstract is presented as one paragraph/block always without sub-titles). Abbreviations are Better in the Introduction and Abstract Rather than Keywords Section.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES!	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Quite SUFFICIENT but POORLY ARRANGED AND PRESENTED NOT ACCORDING TO required STANDARD, REMOVE NUMBERS 1-----31 AND ARRANGE ALPHABETICALLY. I suggest for the use of latest APA FORMAT. Write & in full as "and".	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is good and well communicated for clear understanding.	
Optional/General comments	Author should go over the manuscript and remove ALL RED colour letters/phrases/sentences and ADD ALL BLUE COLOUR accordingly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salisu Audu Baba
Department, University & Country	College of Education, Zing, Taraba State, Nigeria