

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_128568
Title of the Manuscript:	Nourishing mornings: Development and sensory profile assessment of Browntop ( <i>Brachiaria ramosa</i> L.) millet-based breakfast cereal enriched with foxnut flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is a novel research based on formulation of breakfast from unconventional raw ingredients. Hence the choice of the research topic is really worthy of praise. Inclusion of unconventional local ingredients to prepare new product really helps in broadening the options for consumers. As a food scientist, I would like to appreciate the idea.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. But, there is no need to write the phrase "Nourishing mornings" as it is sounding a little bit non-scientific. It's better to keep the title as " <b>Development and sensory profile assessment of Browntop (Brachiaria ramosa L.) millet-based breakfast cereal enriched with foxnut flour</b> ".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is precise and comprehensive and it is providing the overall skeleton of the entire research work. Some grammatical errors and tense of the English sentences are observed, hence suggested to be corrected before final submission.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The scientific approach for the manuscript writing is appropriate and systematic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. The space and numbering of given references should be maintained and assigned properly.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. Some minor grammatical errors have been noticed and it should be corrected.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	minor grammatical revision recommended	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soumya Sucharita Sahoo
Department, University & Country	Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, India