

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_128058
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Spleen Preserving Decapsulation of the primary Splenic Cyst -A case Report and review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides a well-rounded and informative report of a rare case of primary splenic cyst in a young patient. It offers valuable insights into laparoscopic decapsulation as a treatment modality, emphasizing the importance of spleen preservation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The age of the patient should be mentioned in the title like : "Laparoscopic Spleen-Preserving Decapsulation of a Primary Splenic Cyst in a 9-Year-Old Girl: A Case Report and Literature Review"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is generally well-written and comprehensive but incorporating these additions and minor revisions would make it clearer: "Splenic cysts are rare and can be classified as true or pseudocysts. True cysts include primary parasitic and non-parasitic types, with primary epithelial cysts being the least common. These cysts are often asymptomatic and discovered incidentally through imaging techniques such as ultrasonography, CT, or MRI. Laparoscopic surgery is a safe and effective approach for managing splenic cysts, with spleen preservation being the preferred goal when feasible. We report a rare case of a primary splenic epithelial cyst in a 9-year-old girl, diagnosed via CT imaging of the abdomen. Laparoscopic decapsulation was successfully performed, preserving the spleen and resulting in an uneventful recovery. This case highlights the effectiveness of laparoscopic decapsulation as a spleen-preserving technique for primary splenic cysts in pediatric patients."	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the manuscript is scientifically sound and aligns with current knowledge about the diagnosis and management of primary splenic cysts, particularly in pediatric patients. However, a few areas could benefit from further elaboration, including more detailed comparisons of surgical techniques, additional recent literature, and greater emphasis on long-term follow-up data. In summary, the manuscript is scientifically correct, but adding more context to certain sections and including more recent references would improve its completeness and relevance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the manuscript is suitable for an academic and clinical audience, but there is room for improvement in sentence structure, verb tense consistency, active/passive voice balance, and repetition	
Optional/General comments	Language and Grammar: Some sentences are long and could be simplified for better readability. Shorter, more direct sentences improve clarity and help the reader follow the clinical reasoning more easily. Suggestion: Revise sentences to active voice where possible, e.g., "The surgical team successfully performed the decapsulation..." instead of "The decapsulation was successfully performed."	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehmet Gençtürk
Department, University & Country	Türkiye