

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_128175
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern Of Erythropoietin Mrna Expression In Abo Blood Group Prospective Donors In Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This study could contribute for the scientific community as well as for the community as source of knowledge and clue for further study in the future. Mainly it is important to:</p> <p>Let I show the importance with a few sentences as follows;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The purpose the manuscript enables a medical professional to provide a patient with further support. 2. To emphasis the relationship of ABO blood group and erythropoietin (EPO) to keep a healthy number of erythrocytes, or red blood cells. 3. This manuscript is important due to its explanation of the serological testing of ABO (and Rh) blood groups are compatible before a blood transfusion occurs. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluation of erythropoietin gene expression in ABO blood group among donors, in Ilorin, Kwara state, Nigeria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The abstract lacks some sort of steps. Such as sampling technique and sample selection way otherwise it is informative. • The sample size is small for conclusion for the population. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ It is scientifically rigorous and adheres to scientific communication; data collection and way of writing is based on analysis, coherent and evidence and experimentation. • It follows the steps and formats of manuscript presentation as well as states the detail of the work in a clear manner. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes almost recently avail references are used.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is nicely and briefly explained with proficiency language. The grammar and words used are applicable for the study.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ The manuscript covers healthcare of the community which enhances the blood transfusion protocols and encourages individuals for donation process.✓ The title itself is impressive and the writing style also attractive.✓ It would give a framework for the next study in the community rather than taking the sample from the hospitals.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alemu Tsega Alene
Department, University & Country	Injibara University, Ethiopia