

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128109
Title of the Manuscript:	Rooted in Tradition: Exploring the Integration of Local Wisdom with Sustainability and Education for Future Research
Type of the Article	Minireview Paper

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I view this manuscript as a minireview of local wisdom and its relationship with other aspects. The author has mapped many potential manifestations of local wisdom into many other aspects that are relevant not only for scientific studies but also for facing the challenges of today's modern world. On that basis, this manuscript is important both for the scientific community and for policies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, however there is a spelling error that needs to be corrected.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is correct but it requires some clarification and minor revision. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the introduction, you must emphasize your arguments for why you think it is necessary to present topics that have been both frequently and infrequently studied. In the Findings and Discussion section, you need to first clearly separate the topics that are frequently studied from the topics that are infrequently studied. Display and analyze them separately and demonstrate their relevance to meeting the objectives of this article. The presentation in some sections looks like a guideline or instruction manual. It would be much better to appear as an academic article in the social field if the sub-sections in the sections were presented in narrative form rather than presenting with numbers or bullets for every detail. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references is only 21. That's not enough. In the guidelines for authors in this journal, a minireview paper must have a minimum of 30 references.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Just look at my comments and suggestions in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safrudin Amin
Department, University & Country	Universitas Khairun, Indonesia