

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_128252
Title of the Manuscript:	An Event Study On Impact Of Russia-Ukraine War On Indian Banking Sector Stock
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it contribute to the growing body of knowledge on the effects of geopolitical events of financial markets, particularly in the banking sector. By employing an event analysis methodology and examining the Russia-Ukraine conflict's impact on banking sector stocks, the study offers valuable insights into market stability and volatility in response to crises. The use of rigorous statistical methods, including a paired t-test and distinct significance levels, ensures robust analysis and reliability of findings. This research not only enhances our understanding of market dynamics during global conflicts but also provides a framework for future studies on the interplay between geopolitical events and financial markets.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is informative but could be more concise and structured for better readability. It is recommended that including specific insights or trends observed in the results (e.g., types of impacts on the banking stocks) would provide more depth. The conclusion should emphasize the broader implications of the study, such as relevance to policy makers or investors. Lastly, ensuring consistency in terminology, like "event study" instead of "evet study" in the keywords, will improve the abstract's professionalism.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article's formatting requires improvement, particularly in ensuring consistent spacing between headings, graphs, and accompanying text. Proper alignment and uniform spacing will enhance readability and the professional presentation of the content.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadiya Shahzad
Department, University & Country	Allama Iqbal Open University, Pakistan