

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_127269
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINING FACTORS FOR THE SUSTAINABILITY OF ARABICA COFFEE-BASED ECO-FARMING IN SEMBALUN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study is promoting sustainable agriculture to minimise environmental impact caused by agriculture. Environmental friendly agriculture is the way for the better for future generations. So the paper is ok. It is investigating the factors hindering adoption of sustainable	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest the country in the title like western part of Indonesia	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Author should explain data analysis method. I do not see it in abstract and findings are not clearly stated. Factors as critical. What determine them as critical?	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure is ok but discussion is lacking. Though results and discussion section is there. Authors explained findings just but there is need to compare with other previous studies. Even burrow explanations from other studies	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is cross sectional of more qualitative than mixed method. I read in-depth interviewing not combined with other methods . Is it case study? We need to read the characteristics of the respondents like age, education and occupation displayed in 1 table and explained. Sampling is more of purposive than random sampling because it targeted coffee farmers. Make figure clear to read and strains the eye to read	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are few and recent. If results are discussed, the references are likely to increase.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Major revision Let authors avoid verbs like can be concluded . instead state it is concluded that	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nyamweha Bruce Robin
Department, University & Country	Mountains of the Moon University, Uganda