

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_128377
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact Of Kesawan Area Revitalization On Regional Development In Medan City
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is valuable as it evaluates the impact of revitalizing a historic area, Kesawan, on regional development in Medan City. It integrates urban planning, cultural preservation, and socio-economic growth, offering a comprehensive view of sustainable revitalization. The study's quantitative approach provides robust insights, making it relevant for policymakers, urban planners, and researchers in sustainable development and cultural heritage.	Noted and corrected
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title, " <i>The Impact of Kesawan Area Revitalization on Regional Development in Medan City</i> ," accurately reflects the manuscript's scope and findings. It is concise and informative, aligning with the study's core objective.	Noted and corrected
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract is well-written and summarizes key aspects, including objectives, methodology, and findings. However, it could briefly elaborate on specific policy implications or stakeholder involvement for a more actionable conclusion.	Noted and corrected
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript adheres to scientific standards with clear methodologies, statistical analysis, and well-supported conclusions. The use of quantitative techniques like regression analysis adds reliability to the findings.	Noted and corrected
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and mostly relevant. However, including more recent literature on urban revitalization in similar contexts could enhance the discussion's depth. For example, sources on stakeholder collaboration in historical preservation or case studies from Southeast Asia could add	Noted and corrected
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is appropriate for scholarly communication, with clear and precise articulation of ideas. Minor grammatical refinements in certain sections could improve readability.	Noted and corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This study is significant for advancing discussions on urban development and cultural preservation. Emphasizing practical recommendations and addressing identified challenges, such as utility management and land use tensions, would enhance the study's applicability for stakeholders.	Noted and corrected

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agung Suharyanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Medan Area, Indonesia</b>