

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_128222
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the Impact of Infrastructure, Corporate Involvement, Financing, and Conservation on the Success of the National Strategic Food Estate Project in Siria-Ria Village, Pollung District, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Scientific research article written after empirical and quantitative observation of a specific case study

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	With regard to its subject, its objectives and the author's scientific approach, this article is original and detailed research. The investigation into a specific and well-size case allowed an in-depth study. The conclusions and recommendations are also precise and constitute a topical evaluation of the National Strategic Food Estate Project. The results that emerge from this case study could constitute the basis of a comparative study between several geographical locations where the project was implemented, with the aim of seeing if the success is variable; if the factors of success are identical and sustainable; if these factors have the same impact everywhere.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very long but quite explicit. It could be left like this.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the bibliographic references are recent: 10 out of 13 of those cited by the author date from 2024. These references have indeed been used in the text with relevance.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This article is written in an accessible English to both scientific community and average audience. This is an asset for popularizing the conclusions of this study.	
Optional/General comments	This scientific article is interesting. However, two remarks can be expressed: (1) The concept of "success" has been botched even though it is the basis of the initial question. It would have been necessary to give it a clear definition and establish the indicators for its quantification, as well as the distinction with the notion of "sustainability" which refers more to the consolidation of a result obtained. (2) We don't if the evaluation indicators used by the author are those defined by the project. The author should have removed this ambiguity by succinctly presenting, from the introduction, the framework of the project and the methods of evaluating the implementation of this public policy. A word should have been said about the indicators defined by the project. These remarks do not detract much from the scientific qualities of this article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chamtcheu Djomkam Joseph Benjamin
Department, University & Country	The University of Yaounde II, Cameroon