

**Review Form 3**

JournalName:	<a href="#">JournalofComplementaryandAlternativeMedicalResearch</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JOCAMR_124705
TitleoftheManuscript:	AComparativeAnalysisandDevelopmentofLegislativeRegulatoryFrameworkofNaturopathyand TraditionalMedicinesPracticesinSouthernAfrica Region.
TypeoftheArticle	

**PART1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	To investigate how traditional and other complementary medicines are regulated in Southern African regions.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	It is ok.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is comprehensive.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	It needs to be revised. It can be better than this.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The author has used few sources. This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound with new and more documents and references.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Not sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISIONcomments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The quality of written English is almost poor throughout the manuscript. Many statements do not make any sense at all. I suggest the author completely rewrite the manuscript and get proofread by native English speakers.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The introduction lacks an in-depth presentation of data available in the literature that would provide the basis to justify the importance of the work.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Atefeh Bahmanzadegan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iran</b>