

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>The editor has suggested the following points to revised the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The quality of written English is almost poor throughout the manuscript. Many statements do not make any sense at all. I suggest the author completely rewrite the manuscript and get proofread by native English speakers.2. The introduction lacks an in-depth presentation of data available in the literature that would provide the basis to justify the importance of the work.3. There are lots of sentences without proper references in the text, kindly provide proper reference citations for further consideration	<p>Manuscript improved</p> <p>Improve with: The World Health Organization (WHO, 2013) underscores the importance of incorporating traditional medicine into national health systems, advocating for clear regulatory frameworks to ensure the safety and efficacy of these practices. However, the absence of cohesive legislation can lead to significant challenges, including the risk of unsafe practices and the marginalization of traditional healers. Therefore, a thorough analysis of existing laws is essential for promoting effective regulation that safeguards both practitioners and patients.</p> <p>Improved</p>