

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128380
Title of the Manuscript:	Heterosis studies on grain yield and yield attributing traits in Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process :**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of „**L ack of Novelty**‘, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process , reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers : <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subject of the study presented by the authors is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate, in relation to the topic addressed.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract requires a more consistent presentation.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, in accordance with the General Guideline for Authors, Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More extensive documentation is needed, especially for the Introduction chapter. The entire article has only 11 bibliographic sources.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language/quality of the article is suitable for academic communications, and the article is accessible to readers.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The Introduction chapter needs a more consistent presentation. There are only two paragraphs, and three bibliographical sources.</p> <p>The Material and Methods chapter requires a more consistent presentation. It is recommended to present the experimental conditions, working methods and techniques, etc.</p> <p>"per cent" should be replaced with "%" throughout the article</p> <p>The columns of table 1 have different alignments: the first 15 lines have one position, and from row 16 onwards they have another position.</p> <p>The article text requires revision regarding the settings on the page.</p> <p>"Table 3. (cont.)" should continue the line numbering with "25" instead of "1". It is presented as a table in continuation of the previous one.</p> <p>Given that the column headings are different than in "Table 3", then it should be numbered as a new table. It is recommended to correct this in the body of the article, where the tables are referred to.</p> <p>Similarly for the following table, presented as "Table 3. (cont.)"</p> <p>Table 4 is recommended to be presented in the Results chapter. In the Conclusions chapter, no tables with results are presented.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florin Sala
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I", Romania