

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127853
Title of the Manuscript:	CRISPR/Cas9 construct development for knock-out of the ROOT ARCHITECTURE ASSOCIATED 1 gene in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this study, the authors designed gRNAs specific to the <i>OsRAA1</i> gene in rice and successfully cloned them into the pRGEB32 binary vector, to precisely target the gene. <i>E. coli</i> strain DH5 α was transformed with the recombinant vectors, which were further mobilized into <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> strain EHA105.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article is comprehensive and No need of addition of points	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yadla Hari
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana Agricultural University (PJTU), India