

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_128074 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ultrasound and Thermographic Assessment of Upper Limb Alterations in Women with Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article is useful both for specialists in the rehabilitation of cancer patients and for surgical oncologists who operate on breast cancer. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | YES | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | It seems that this sentence is incorrect: "A total of 43 women with breast cancer-related lymphedema were included". If you open table 1, 19 women with lymphedema are listed there. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | YES | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | YES | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>YES</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | <p>Comments on improving the article relate to clinical data.</p> <p>According to the Table 1, 19 women had clinical signs of lymphedema, and 24 did not (43 women in total). So, we have two groups of women who have completed breast cancer treatment.</p> <p>From a clinical point of view, it would be expedient for the authors to indicate which surgeries were performed in the area of the axillary lymphatic collector: biopsy of the sentinel lymph node (?) or axillary lymphadenectomy of the I-II level or complete lymphodissection (?).</p> <p>How many women received postoperative radiotherapy (Gy) to the axillary area?</p> <p>In how many cases were morphologically confirmed metastases in the lymph nodes? Perhaps these data will affect the interpretation of thermography and ultrasound.</p> <p>If there are answers to these questions, then the authors can add a new point of conclusion.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>Reviewer's comment</p> | <p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p> |
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Name:</p> | <p>Igor Galaychuk</p> |
| <p>Department, University & Country</p> | <p>I. Horbachevsky Ternopil State Medical University, Ukraine</p> |