

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127545
Title of the Manuscript:	History and Therapeutic Benefits of Honey
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This manuscript highlights the cultural, medicinal, and culinary significance of honey, emphasizing its: Historical importance across civilizations, Therapeutic properties, health benefits and Versatility in traditional medicine, wound care, and culinary applications</p> <p>The manuscript's importance lies in its potential to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Promote awareness about honey's benefits</li> <li>Support the preservation of traditional beekeeping practices</li> <li>Encourage further research into honey's medicinal properties</li> <li>Foster appreciation for the cultural significance of honey across societies</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title "History and Therapeutic Benefits of Honey" is suitable for your manuscript. It accurately reflects the content and scope of your work.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract effectively covers:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Historical significance: 2. Therapeutic benefits and 3. Cultural significance:</li> </ol> <p>However, to make the abstract even more comprehensive, consider adding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specific examples: Provide concrete examples of honey's therapeutic benefits, such as its use in treating specific diseases or conditions.</li> <li>Mechanisms of action: Briefly explain the scientific mechanisms behind honey's medicinal properties.</li> <li>Current relevance: Mention the current relevance of honey in modern medicine, food industry, or environmental sustainability.</li> <li>Research gaps: Indicate areas where further research is needed to fully understand honey's potential.</li> </ol> <p>By addressing these points, it can create a more comprehensive abstract that effectively conveys the significance and scope of the article.</p>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure and subsections are well-organized, clear, and concise, making it easy to follow and understand the content.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript showcases scientific robustness and technical soundness through its comprehensive review of honey's historical significance, medicinal properties, and modern medical applications. The author provides a thorough analysis of existing literature, incorporating studies from various disciplines. The manuscript's attention to detail, rigorous referencing, and clear explanations contribute to its technical soundness. Overall, it provides a	

**Review Form 3**

	reliable and informative overview of honey's properties and uses.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references provided are sufficient and recent, but adding more recent reviews, specific topic-related references, grey literature, and international sources can further enhance the manuscript's credibility and comprehensiveness.  Reference no. 2,7,8,9,10,12,14,15,2330and 31 are incomplete	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications, but some minor revisions can further enhance its clarity, readability, and overall impact. To further enhance the language and English quality, consider:  1. Editing: Need to review the article for clarity, grammar, and punctuation. 2. Sentence structure: Vary sentence structure to improve readability, using a mix of short and long sentences. 3. Transitional phrases: Use transitional phrases to connect paragraphs and ideas, making the article flow more smoothly. 4. Active voice: Incorporate more active voice to make the writing more engaging and dynamic.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, this manuscript contributes to the appreciation and understanding of honey's value, promoting its sustainable use and preservation for future generations.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Varsha Sanjay Khude</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Devchand College, India</b>